

REMARKS

The applicant has studied the Election/Restriction Requirement dated November 27, 2006. Claims 1-34 are in the case with claims 5, 11, 13 and 14 canceled and claims 15-34 having been added by the previous response. Claims 1, 7, 8, 15, 17, 23, 24 and 28 have been amended. The Examiner has required restriction to one of the following two groups of the claimed invention:

Group I: Claims 1-4, 6-7, 16-23 and 32-34, drawn to a navigation system for a vehicle; and

Group II: Claims 8-10, 12, 15, 24-28 and 32-34, drawn to a method of vehicle navigation that does not require to get information from GPS (as in the system claims).

It is respectfully noted that the Examiner did not address claims 29-31, which are directed to a method, and included claims 32-34, which are directed to a system, in Group II. Applicant assumes that the Examiner intended to include claims 29-31 in Group II instead of claims 32-34. Applicant respectfully requests clarification from the Examiner.

In response to the Examiner's election requirement, the applicant hereby provisionally elects claims 1-4, 6-7, 16-23 and 32-34 (Group I). Applicant reserves the right to file a divisional application directed to non-elected claims.

Amendments to the Claims

The Examiner indicated that claim 15 is directed to a "system" and depends from claim 8, which is directed to a "method." With this paper, claim 15 has been amended to recite a "method" instead of a "system." Furthermore, claims 1, 7, 8, 15, 17, 23, 24 and 28 have been amended to correct a typographical error by replacing the word "travelling" with the word "traveling." It is respectfully submitted that the amendments are not related to patentability.


In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application and the claims, as amended, are in condition for reconsideration on merits. Examination of the application, as amended, is requested. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (213) 623-2221 should the Examiner believe a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee, Hong, Degerman, Kang & Schmadeka

Date: December 21, 2006

By:



Richard C. Salfelder
Registration No. 51,127
Attorneys for Applicant

Customer No. 035884